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Abstract
Reliability of Software has been major Issue in Software industry. Failure Free Code Development is to be achieved with in time of Software version upgrades. Although this give rise to numerous faults. Analyzing and resolving these Defects to achieve Software reliability is objective of Research. To overcome these defects Software domain has come up with software Reliability and Growth Models (SRGM’s). This Model predict faults that would occur and present count of defects in module. While Resolving this defects SRGM’s fail to achieve optimal efficiency in issues removal. Fault removal metric help product developer to evaluate performance and affectivity of software and overall work load computation. As such optimal planning and Reliability Modeling for Software system is active research area. Proposed System Analysis and model defects from previous Software Versions eliminating them. Modeled on this Concept a mathematical Analyzing and Modeling framework for multiple release of Software product is Designed and Developed. Research work achieves reliability with 2-dimension work flow applying testing for deployed product and Cobb-Douglas factor. Testing cannot be done continuously and research seeks to minimize testing, value minimization reliability maximization are outcomes of research. Optimal design is complicated non-linear equation to solve. Proposed system Deploys GA (genetic algorithm) to achieve Reliability as of survey outcomes presents GA to be best for Search and optimization, which is implemented interactively on real binary solution of population, with 4 genetic operations: crossover, mutation and reproduction with S shape Model at core. Functional dataset consisting test week, test time, resources and identified Faults. Optimal model solves and determines when to stop testing and predict with time newer version would be released, with removal of dead test cases and test coverage evaluation as added workings.
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1. INTRODUCTION
A Product based Software is Enriched with Functionality over Time and Becomes Massive and Complex over version of Development[3].In decision making for Further Product Development “Reliability” is Major factor in quantifying determining as off when testing is to be halted and release Software System .To Achieve this Goals[5] Industry has come up with Software reliability Growth models i.e. SRGM’s. This model compute metrics on software like: No of Remaining Faults, failure rates and reliability level of software. NHPP framework in SRGM’s Class identifies complete and incomplete Debugging works [3],future Added factors like mean time and until next failure have also been identified. Software fault debugging is very complex process, but when test maker find them they usually propose alternative modification deviating from requirement giving a new set of work to developer. This updated code has to go through all Test cases again and is Time and cost consuming process. Developing Software’s with less dependency helps to achieve software with economical and effective test case plan.

2. APPROACHES
Approaches Taken in SRGM development are basically two fold.
1. Mathematical dependableness prediction.
2. Mathematical correlations of actual defect detection First Approaches is based on better system development with effective plan for test cases and harness services to a certain level achieving depend less development. Whereas second approach accounts in software code characteristics like line of code, nested loops, references, input-output call. Principle working second approach is based on test case results for identification of errors. A alternative classification model called as predictive model one of which is NHPP .these model identify error seeds, failure rates, non homogenized Poisson method the NHPP is based on S form of mean calculation

2.1 Different SRGM models
1) Jelinski-Moranda Model 2) Goel-Okumoto Model,3) Generalized Goel NHPP prototype 4) Inflected S-Shaped Model 5) Logistic Growth Curve Model 6) Musa okumaoto Model
The SGRGM models can be elaborated as detailed as:

[1] Jelinski-Moranda prototype: The prototype was first presented as reliability growth prototype in 1972 by Jelinski and Moranda. It is a continuous time-independently dispersed inter-breakdown times and self-governing and identical error conduct prototype. The software failure speed of hazard method at any time is directly calculative to current fault content of the source code. The distribution of statistics is Exponential division.


[3] Generalized Goel NHPP prototype: In order to describe circumstances that software breakdown intensity increase somewhat at start and then begin to reduce, Goel proposed a easy overview of Goel-Okenoto model with an extra factor $c$.

[4] Inflected S-Shaped prototype: Resolve technical limitations of okenoto prototype and Ohaba introduced it. Observations of model suggest that faults when evaluated graphically take S-shape in curve. Analyzing this curve would help reduce errors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Name</th>
<th>Mean Value Function</th>
<th>Intensity Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Jelinski-Moranda model</td>
<td>$m(t) = n_0[1 - \exp(-\phi t)]$</td>
<td>$\lambda t = (N - k)\mu$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Goel-Okenoto Model</td>
<td>$m(t) = a(1 - \exp[-bt])$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda(t) = ab + \exp[-bt]$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Generalized Goel NHPP Model</td>
<td>$m(t) = a(1 - \exp[-b\psi(t)])$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0, c &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda(t) = abct^{-1}\exp[-bt]$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0, c &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Inflected S-Shaped Model</td>
<td>$m(t) = a \frac{1 - \exp[-bh]}{1 + \psi(t) + \exp[-bt]}$, $\psi(t) = \frac{1 - r}{r}$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0, r &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda(t) = ab\exp[-bt](1 + \beta t)$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0, \beta &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Logistic Growth Curve Model</td>
<td>$m(t) = \frac{a}{1 + k + \exp[-bt]}$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0, k &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda(t) = \frac{ab\exp[-bt]}{(1 + k + \exp[-bt])^2}$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0, k &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Musa-Okenoto Model</td>
<td>$m(t) = a + \ln(1 + bt)$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\lambda(t) = \frac{-ab}{(1 + bt)}$, $a &gt; 0, b &gt; 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: SRGM Models [17]

3. Tabulated Literature Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Abstract</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Merits</th>
<th>Demerits</th>
<th>Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>SRGM’s are differentiated with Assumptions made at testing fault removal. This work evaluates these models with Tandem's software development. Defects are increased with new code lines and many times defect identification efficiency is assumed. Research work presents suggestions on to quantify model accuracy and find accuracy Vs. complexity factors.</td>
<td>An evaluator research work. Tandem software development and test environment are been presented. [Assumption Reliability and effect of model] are been presented.</td>
<td>Research outcomes like simple models are good. realistic assumptions are better are work merits.</td>
<td>Only Tandem environment is considered demerit</td>
<td>Compute degradation in accuracy due to SRGM assumption violations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Gokhal</td>
<td>NHPP model present either monotonic decreasing or increasing of failure rates but fail to consider process underneath of dataset. Work presents a log logistic SRGM that identifies increased or decreased occurrence of failure per faults. Analysis on two dataset are presented. Outcomes present equation on Finite failure NHPP model with log logistic model.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Huang</td>
<td>Logistic testing work in SRGM is been presented. Rayleigh curve is been drawn for efforts in software testing. Presented work shows logistic testing-effort method could be uttered as software-development test-work curve and which gives a good analytical Values based on real failure-data. Comparative analysis show model is better. Cost effective parameter is outcome of research.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Zhang</td>
<td>Research presents Methodology to incorporate fault exclusion efficiency into software reliability Evaluation. Imperfect debugging is measured in sense that new burdens could be introduced into software at debugging and detected errors might be detached completely. Model provides better decision.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2005 | Zhao | Work presents SRGM on NHPP. Only certain research work consider fault detection rate FDR is frequently used to amount TOESRGM is assessed using only a set of software faults. Work is tested on three factors: Fault
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Key Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Huang</td>
<td>Article presents cost for optimal release time based on testing and efficiency of modeling reliability. Major two issues reliability molding &amp; software reliability economics: test efforts efficiency is been considered. Software cost model is outcome. The policy outcomes would help manager to decide when and where to stop test. Methodology first takes in <em>logistic testing-effort to find Testing patterns</em>. 1. Basic SRGM is grounded on assumptions. 2. A New Generalized Logistic TEF. 3. Comparison Criteria. Optimal Software release policy is outcome help definitely enhances research. Analyses would significantly aid software staffs in selecting best software economic rule based on cost testing effort, and test efficiency. <strong>Optimal Policy is best and scope</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Teng</td>
<td>A novel effort to predict reliability of software in random filed environment. System is been based on random environmental effects (RFE) software reliability. Which covers both testing phase and operating phase in SDLC. 1. <em>Generalized NHPP Software Reliability Model</em>. 2. Generalized random field environmen t. Alpha-RFE model, and Beta-RFE model. What application the system is been tested needs to examined as its results may vary in accordance to application. Optimistic to pessimistic model are been presented .the feedback control mechanism is found to be novel in work. cost prototypes and optimum software release Strategies under RFE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Stiebe r</td>
<td>Paper presents complete hierarchy of SRGM’s which are parameter dependent. Recognizing failure rate and overall defects. A mathematical process of SRGM is been presented by article. Optimistic to pessimistic model are been presented .the feedback control mechanism is found to be novel in work. Plot of evaluation can be generated in better way with Extension to N0 value. Feedback control can be scope.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2008 Alhazmi Loop holes have been found in windows and Linux systems. In such systems a Vulnerability model is been required. This would help in patch development and fault removal efficiency. Six models have been tested and found to be determine certain.

2016 Srivastava Testing work does not give faults to be removed. as such better reliability can be achieved with combined approach. A two dimensional framework is presented with hazard rate method for evolving multi release models.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Alhazmi</td>
<td>Loop holes have been found in windows and Linux systems. A Vulnerability model is been required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Srivastava</td>
<td>Testing work does not give faults to be removed. A two dimensional framework is presented.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.1 Problem statement

Design and Develop an optimal software release and reliability modeling system for multi-release software. The system is built on KIS principle Keep it simple and an iterative model is been used for developing it.

### 4. PROPOSED SYSTEM

#### a. Design of system:

The System consists of Three phase model and Object oriented architecture where every module is attached on input from previous module.

1. **Dataset Module:** specify dataset and release dataset is main function of module.
2. **Two Dimensional Framework:** A basic 2 Dimensional mathematical model (SRGM) based on NHPP to solve optimal release problem.
3. **Analysis Module:** A Module that evaluates system performance on dataset and finds testing condition no of present errors and what plan of testing is to be selected A decision support module.

#### 4.2 Design of Algorithm:

A generic algorithm has been proposed as to optimize the Process of Optimal Software release and Work to Achieve Software reliability. The System has been applied to 4 release Of Software system. The System suffers from downside as Testing and Fault Identification need to be done at in faster Dynamic way.

**Why GA?**

A genetic algorithm (GA) is a search Method that mimics the process of Selection in natural way, it optimizes solution and reduces search time. It is a class of Evolutionary Algorithm (EA) with mutation inheritance and selection as three methods.

Genetic algorithms are simple to implement, but their behavior is difficult to understand. In particular it is difficult to understand why these algorithms frequently succeed at generating solutions of high fitness when applied to practical problems.

### The building block hypothesis (BBH) consists of:

1. A description of a heuristic that performs adaptation by identifying and recombining "building blocks", i.e. low order, low defining-length schemata with above average fitness.
2. A hypothesis that a genetic algorithm performs adaptation by implicitly and efficiently implementing this heuristic.
Genetic Algorithm

A classical genetic algorithm requires:

1. A genetic representation of solution domain.
2. A fitness method to evaluate solution domain.

Steps in GA Algorithm

1. Initialization
2. Selection
3. Termination condition

Testing resources fault detection no of test cases and test coverage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Week</th>
<th>Testing resource</th>
<th>Faults Detected</th>
<th>No. of test cases</th>
<th>Test Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1038</td>
<td>53.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5823</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>11646</td>
<td>89.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>No. of Generations</th>
<th>Cross probability</th>
<th>Mutation probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 2: Performance of release 1

Fig 3: Performance of release 2
Observing above 2 Tables the GA is found to be best and all four release set are been evaluated graphically.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Proposed methodology assist to detect effect of errors generated in software using multi-release software reliability modeling framework. Model exclusively takes into justification errors of that release that are under stage of testing and faults absent in preceding release (operational phase) System assistto achieve scope by spreading the statement like time and funds simultaneously. The expressed planning problem assists in determining both best release period and optimal resource intake simultaneously. Numerical evaluation justify proposed work.
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